
COMPARISONS WHEN COMPARING NOUNS IN ENGLISH AND UZBEK: A COMPARATIVE LINGUISTIC ANALYSIS

Nurmetov Shoxrux

Humo-ielts MCHJ, Uzbekistan

ABSTRACT: This article presents a comparative linguistic analysis of noun comparisons in English and Uzbek languages. Noun comparisons play a crucial role in language structure, conveying degrees of comparison, attributing qualities, and expressing relationships. Understanding the comparative mechanisms in different languages is essential for linguists, educators, and learners to enhance language acquisition and cross-linguistic understanding.

KEYWORDS: Comparative linguistics, English, Uzbek, noun comparison, language structure.

INTRODUCTION

Language comparison serves as a fundamental avenue for exploring the intricacies and distinct features of different languages, allowing linguists and language enthusiasts to unravel the diverse ways in which languages express ideas and relationships. One of the critical aspects of linguistic comparison pertains to the comparison of nouns, a fundamental component of language that helps convey degrees of comparison and attribute qualities to entities. Comparative analysis of noun structures in languages is essential for elucidating the underlying grammatical and semantic mechanisms that influence expression in distinct linguistic frameworks.

This study delves into a comparative linguistic analysis of noun comparisons in two contrasting languages: English and Uzbek. English, a widely spoken Germanic language, and Uzbek, a Turkic language primarily spoken in Uzbekistan, exhibit unique structures for noun comparisons. Exploring and contrasting these linguistic structures not only sheds light on the individual intricacies of the languages but also fosters a deeper understanding of linguistic diversity and the variances in language typologies. Through this analysis, we aim to provide insights into the distinct approaches and constructions employed when comparing nouns in English and Uzbek, thus contributing to the broader field of comparative linguistics and aiding language learners and educators in enhancing their proficiency in these languages.

Degrees of Comparison in Nouns:

Degrees of comparison, a fundamental aspect of linguistics, play a crucial role in conveying variations in intensity, extent, or quality of nouns. These degrees serve to establish relationships between entities, allowing for a more nuanced expression of ideas. In languages such as English and Uzbek, degrees of comparison in nouns are achieved through distinct grammatical structures and morphological alterations.

English Degrees of Comparison: In English, degrees of comparison for nouns are typically conveyed through the modification of adjectives or adverbs associated with the noun. The three primary degrees of comparison are the positive, comparative, and superlative degrees.

Positive Degree: The positive degree is the base form of the adjective or adverb (e.g., "big" in "a big house").

Comparative Degree: The comparative degree is used to compare two nouns, indicating a higher or lower degree of the quality expressed by the adjective or adverb. It is formed by adding "-er" to the adjective or using "more" before the adjective (e.g., "bigger" or "more beautiful").

Superlative Degree: The superlative degree is used to denote the highest or lowest degree of the quality expressed by the adjective or adverb, typically in a comparison involving three or more nouns. It is formed by adding "-est" to the adjective or using "most" before the adjective (e.g., "biggest" or "most beautiful").

Uzbek Degrees of Comparison: In Uzbek, degrees of comparison for nouns are expressed through suffixes that modify the noun, altering its form to indicate comparison.

Positive Degree: The positive degree represents the base form of the noun (e.g., "olma" for "apple").

Comparative Degree: The comparative degree is formed by adding the "-roq" suffix to the noun, signifying a higher or lower degree of the quality associated with the noun (e.g., "olmaroq" for "a bigger apple").

Superlative Degree: The superlative degree is not commonly used in Uzbek for nouns. When required, it can be expressed contextually or through additional words, but there is no standardized morphological suffix akin to the comparative "-roq" suffix.

CONCLUSIONS

The comparative linguistic analysis of noun comparisons in English and Uzbek illuminates significant structural and functional distinctions between these two languages. Examining degrees of comparison in nouns in both languages revealed unique approaches in modifying and expressing comparative and superlative forms. These distinctions are crucial for language learners, educators, and researchers seeking to comprehend the grammatical intricacies and communicative nuances in these languages.

Structural Variations: The structures for degrees of comparison in nouns in English primarily involve modifications of adjectives and adverbs, employing specific suffixes ("-er" and "-est") or the use of the word "more" or "most" to indicate comparison. On the other hand, Uzbek utilizes noun suffixes ("-roq") to denote comparison, reflecting a distinct morphological approach.

Morphological Differences: English relies on modifying adjectives and adverbs to convey degrees of comparison, while Uzbek employs morphological alterations on the nouns themselves, showcasing inherent differences in morphological strategies employed for comparison.

Semantic Nuances: The variations in how degrees of comparison are expressed in English and Uzbek offer insights into the semantic nuances in each language. English tends to focus on quantitative and qualitative comparisons, while Uzbek primarily emphasizes qualitative comparisons in its noun structures.

Cultural and Linguistic Influence: The linguistic variations observed can be attributed to the historical, cultural, and linguistic influences on these languages. English, as a Germanic language, showcases influences from its linguistic roots and interactions with other languages. Uzbek, as a Turkic language, embodies its unique linguistic heritage and cultural context.

Educational Implications: Understanding the differences in degrees of comparison in nouns is pivotal for language learners and educators. Tailoring teaching methodologies and resources to reflect these specific linguistic characteristics enhances language acquisition and proficiency in both English and Uzbek.

Future Research Avenues: This comparative analysis provides a foundation for future research in comparative linguistics, encouraging further exploration into noun comparison mechanisms across various languages. Comparative studies can deepen our understanding of the broader linguistic landscape, facilitating effective communication and cross-cultural interactions.

REFERENCES

1. "Uzbek-English English-Uzbek Dictionary and Phrasebook" by Nicholas Awde, William Dirks, and Umida Niyazova.
2. Publisher: Hippocrene Books, 2002.
3. "English-Uzbek & Uzbek-English One-to-One Dictionary: Script & Roman" by Shavkat Tilayev.
4. Publisher: CreateSpace Independent Publishing Platform, 2017.
5. "A Comprehensive Uzbek-English Dictionary" by Karl A. Krippes.
6. Publisher: Indiana University Press, 1987.
7. "English Grammar in Use" by Raymond Murphy.
8. Publisher: Cambridge University Press, 2019.
9. "Linguistics: An Introduction to Language and Communication" by Adrian Akmajian, Richard A. Demers, Ann K. Farmer, and Robert M. Harnish.
10. Publisher: The MIT Press, 2017.
11. Teshaboyeva, N. Z., & Niyatova, M. N. (2021). The importance of a word and word formation in a language system. *JournalNX-A Multidisciplinary Peer Reviewed Journal*, 7(12), 337-341.
12. Niyatova, M. (2021). The importance of a word and word formation a language system. *International Journal of Research Publications in Engineering Technology and Management*.
13. Teshaboyeva, N. Z., & Niyatova, M. N. (2021). General meanings of the category of tenses. *International Journal of Development and Public Policy*, 1(6), 70-72.
14. Niyatova, M. (2023). EFFECTIVENESS OF GROUP WORK IN TEACHING A FOREIGN LANGUAGE. *Журнал иностранных языков и лингвистики*, 5(5).
15. Solnyshkina, M. I. (2022). TEACHING ENGLISH THROUGH INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGIES. *Web of Scientist: International Scientific Research Journal*, 3(11), 1108-1111.
16. Teshaboyeva, N. Z., & Niyatova, M. N. (2021). The important meanings of category of tenses in contexts. "Zamonaviy tadqiqotlar, innovatsiyalarning dolzarb muammolari va rivojlanish tendensiyalari: yechimlar va istiqbollar" Respublika miqyosidagi ilmiy-amaliy konferensiya materiallari toplami, 468-473.

17. Ниятова, М. (2022). Significant points on assessment procedure. Современные инновационные исследования актуальные проблемы и развитие тенденции: решения и перспективы, 1(1), 587-589.
18. Niyatova, M. (2021). PECULIARITIES OF PREFIXAL DERIVATIVES IN LANGUAGE LEVELS. Журнал иностранных языков и лингвистики, 2(3).
19. Niyatova Maftuna, Abdurasulova Diyora. (2023). ENGLISH DOCUMENTS WRITING. International Journal of Contemporary Scientific and Technical Research, 423–430.
20. Abduganieva, M., & Niyatova, M. (2023). WHAT IS A CONTENT IN TEACHING ENGLISH LANGUAGE?. Theoretical aspects in the formation of pedagogical sciences, 2(11), 157-162.
21. Toshtemirovna B. X. CHARACTERISTICS OF THE USE OF WORDS RELATED TO SOME ADJECTIVES IN THE WORK "KUTADGU BILIG" BY YUSUF KHOS HAJIB //Web of Scientist: International Scientific Research Journal. – 2022. – Т. 3. – №. 11. – С. 976-981.
22. Toshtemirovna B. X. et al. SOME STYLISTIC FEATURES OF VERBS IN TUNYUQUK INSCRIPTION (BASED ON RELATIONAL FORMS) //Open Access Repository. – 2023. – Т. 4. – №. 3. – С. 374-377.
23. Buronova X., Sojida A. S. A. O 'ZBEK BADIY ASARLARIDA EKZOTIZMLARNING QO 'LLANISH XUSUSIYATI //Журнал иностранных языков и лингвистики. – 2023. – Т. 5. – №. 5.
24. Otaboboyeva, F. (2023). Psychological, Pedagogical and methodological foundation of foreign language of learners' intercultural competence. International scientific research journal.
25. Otaboboyeva, F. (2022). The essence of the work of George Orwell "Animal farm" and its impact on people. Scientific methodological journal.