

## ASSESSMENT TEACHING AND ITS TYPES

Turobova Malika Baxrom Qizi

Doctoral Student Of Uzbekistan State World Languages University, Uzbekistan

**ABSTRACT:** Instructing evaluation is fundamental in making a difference under studies pick up the procedures fundamental for creating their talking and verbal introduction abilities. The significance of empowering under studies to provide a great verbal introduction as a portion of a number of fundamental work-place errands, such as showing designing arrangements and extend recommendations, offering items and conducting proficient courses and gatherings, is well reported within the literature and includes the have to be develop effective verbal communication abilities. Moreover, oral presentation abilities are not as it were valuable within the proficient setting, but moreover within the scholastic setting and at the interpersonal level. The capacity to offer a great introduction will make strides students' certainty, improve their future consider choices and offer assistance them share what they have learned with others.

**KEYWORDS:** The teaching, learning, and assessment processes.

### INTRODUCTION

According to Brown and Heywood, assessment should be seen as an essential component of the teaching and learning process, which means that assessment procedures and teaching strategies must be in line with the learning objectives. Similar to this, students should actively participate in the teaching, learning, and assessment processes. Students should contribute to their development and reflect on their learning in order for the assessment assignments to be meaningful and authentic. Students can learn about their strengths and limitations in speaking abilities through formative or ongoing testing. Formative assessment enables the student to evaluate their individual learning achievement as opposed to summative assessment, which allows the teacher or institution to assess the achievement as a whole. In an effort to continuously update the teacher and the student throughout the learning process, formative assessment is used.

There are encouraging signs that formative assessment can improve a student's language performance learning outcomes by giving them both internal (self-comparison) and external (assessor offered) feedback. Giving pupils formative feedback also entails teaching them pertinent oral presenting standards by which they can assess their own performance and development. According to Black and William, formative assessment has a number of advantages, including (a) enabling students to identify areas for improvement, (b) boosting student motivation, and (c) increasing student and teacher awareness of the learning process.

A sort of formative assessment that involves self-evaluation is for individual students. Although it is not frequently utilized in schools and universities, computer-based self-assessment that allows

students to compare their performance to that of other, ideally native speakers, is now a feasible option. It can improve learning outcomes by supporting the student in gaining insights on their own progress or lack thereof as well as their own progress. In addition to these advantages, another benefit of self-assessment in general is that, unlike other assessment-oriented communication activities like group discussions, role plays, oral presentations, etc., it doesn't require class time because the student can complete the process of self-assessment at home. Students' judgment and sense of responsibility for their learning are developed through self-evaluation. Self-assessment can encourage intrinsic motivation, internally controlled effort, mastery goal orientation, and more fulfilling learning when done properly. Students identify the steps and components necessary for their personal progress during self-assessment, and they plan their next move by defining their short- and long-term objectives.

It is imperative once more that in arrange to be able to carry out self-assessment, understudies got to be mindful of the assessment criteria assigned by the educator. In any case, one key issue that's however to be settled is that of powerless unwavering quality due to subjective perceptions included with developmental self-assessments. In the event that understudies are not prepared well on how to assess their claim work, at that point results may shift incredibly among understudies. Understudies may too feel sick prepared to embrace evaluation, and their hesitance may lead to questionable comes about, as well as masking and untrustworthiness. Teachers can help by setting the initial individual goals for students, providing follow-up support and feedback, scaffolding the learning and resetting the goals in the process.

Another sort of developmental appraisal is peer-assessment, where understudies independently survey each other's information. It encases the same criteria and judgment forms as self-assessment, with the distinction that one must know how to be objective in connection to other student's work. In peer evaluation, students are empowered to effectively take an interest within the evaluating prepare and give pertinent criticism to their peer understudies. They take the duty to display positive feedback, illustrating their information and at the same time reacting to other student's information and headway. This evaluation can be impacted by fellowship and hesitance to express negative feedback. At the other extraordinary, a few understudies may be segregated in the event that understudies choose to act against a bunch part.

Self and peer-assessment can help the instructor within the classroom by diminishing their stamping stack and making a difference them give a more objective review, expecting that understudies are prepared legitimately. When inquired around concerns related to peer and self-assessment, instructors detailed the fear of bringing down the measures of reviewing, failure of understudies to comprehend and utilize appraisal accurately, failure of parents to appreciate the method, planning of criteria and input which will not be included within the course review, and building the classroom environment of believe and positivity with the accentuation on moving forward what understudies eventually learn. To reply these concerns, the instructor should spend time preparing understudies on appraisal and giving satisfactory evaluation criteria.

The part of the educator within the prepare of self and peer-assessment incorporates numerous contemplations and the changing part in teachers' points of view, which has been contended almost in a number of thinks about. Instructors need to learn more around the pedagogy and

implement it in classes. They ought to do a parcel of inquire about and after that put it in hone, requiring an assortment of cases of execution. They ought to donate up control in their classrooms ignoring them possess fear and ought to begin getting included in a distinctive relationship with understudies. The changes moreover suggest directing the classroom exchange and utilizing criticism to a more noteworthy degree.

Evaluation plays a vital part within the classroom. With a number of benefits and a number of challenges, it has been grasped in classes where understudies are learned people challenged, where bunches are expansive and instructors require a move in evaluating and viewpoint on students' results. The paper has attempted to display the benefits of peer- and self-assessment in ESP classes, pushing the imperative issues to be considered all through the method of presenting, preparing and conveying appraisals.

## REFERENCES

1. Brown, G., Bull, J. & Pendlebury, M., (1997), *Assessing student learning in higher education*, Routledge, London.
2. Heywood, J., (2000), *Assessment in higher education: Student learning, teaching, programmes and institutions*, Jessica Kingsley, London.
3. Black, P., & William, D., (1998), "Inside the black box: Raising standards through classroom assessment", *Phi Delta Kappa*, 80, 139-144.
4. Butler, Y. & Jiyeon, L., (2010), "The effects of self-assessment among young learners of English", *Language Testing*, 27, 5-15. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1177/0265532209346370>
5. McMillan, J. H., & Hearn, J., (2008), "Student self-assessment: The key to stronger student motivation and higher achievement", *Educational Horizons*, 87(1), 40-49. URL: [http://www.eric.ed.gov/ERICDocs/data/ericdocs2sql/content\\_storage\\_01/0000019b/80/41/9e/80.pdf](http://www.eric.ed.gov/ERICDocs/data/ericdocs2sql/content_storage_01/0000019b/80/41/9e/80.pdf)
6. Vygotsky, L., (1978), *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA.
7. Ross, J. A., (2006), "The reliability, validity, and utility of self-assessment", *Practical Assessment Research and Evaluation*, 11(10), 1-13.
8. Rolheiser, C., & Ross, J. A., (2001), "Student self-evaluation: What research says and what practice shows", In R. D. Small & A. Thomas (Eds.), *Plain talk about kids* (pp. 43-57). Covington, LA: Center for Development and Learning. [http://www.cdl.org/resource-library/articles/self\\_eval.php](http://www.cdl.org/resource-library/articles/self_eval.php). Accessed: 26.10. 2013. Andrade, H. L., (2010), "Students as the definitive source of formative assessment: Academic self-assessment and the self-regulation of learning", *NERA Conference Proceedings 2010*. Paper 25. [http://digitalcommons.uconn.edu/nera\\_2010/25](http://digitalcommons.uconn.edu/nera_2010/25) Accessed 04.04.2016.
9. Rolheiser, C., & Ross, J. A., (2001), "Student self-evaluation: What research says and what practice shows", In R. D. Small & A. Thomas (Eds.), *Plain talk about kids* (pp. 43-57). Covington, LA: Center for Development and Learning. [http://www.cdl.org/resource-library/articles/self\\_eval.php](http://www.cdl.org/resource-library/articles/self_eval.php). Accessed: 26.10. 2013.