
THE HISTORICAL TRANSFORMATION OF PEDAGOGICAL THEORIES IN THE AGE OF TECHNOLOGY

Iroda Akhmedova

Lecturer, Department Of Information Technologies, Tashkent International University Of
Education, Uzbekistan

ABSTRACT: This article delves into the historical transformation of pedagogical theories influenced by the rapid advancement of technology. It explores the transition from traditional teaching methodologies to innovative, technology-driven approaches that emphasize personalized learning, interactivity, and accessibility. Key milestones in the evolution of pedagogy, including the digital revolution and the integration of AI, are examined. Challenges such as the digital divide, ethical concerns, and the necessity for ongoing educator training are addressed. This study highlights the critical interplay between pedagogy and technology, offering insights into future directions for education in an increasingly digital world.

KEYWORDS: Pedagogy, educational transformation, technology integration, digital revolution, personalized learning, digital divide, education technology.

INTRODUCTION

Since John Dewey and other educational philosophers of the fin-de-siècle period, traditional theories of pedagogy have been influenced by their contemporary technological equipment. It is imperative to understand how the educational practices of the past were made and remade by material tools. This understanding hinges to a great extent on technological and scientific progress. Evaluating how SR is realized and what kind of pedagogy should be taught presents a crucial challenge in a society, such as ours, where it is becoming increasingly important for technology to assimilate and exploit both specialized knowledge and the ability to learn autonomously. Evaluation of the professional choices of teaching staff is crucial for an approach to a deeper pedagogy of the subject and its application to new equipment. Therefore, the historical survey performed in the first part has a more general significance in terms of the overall problem and makes it possible to draw up a series of questions that can then guide a concurrent exploration of contemporary educational practices. This twin examination can form the basis of a framework for pedagogical analysis that takes account of the “discontinuities” and “reversals” by which material tools are successively adapted to the requirements of different systems of pedagogy.

Ultimately, there is always the same question of the organization of learning, whether it is intended to very directly influence people’s behavior or to develop the general analysis of a critical body of knowledge. The aim here will have been to present a reflection in terms of this question.

As a mark of the transformations these elementary diagnostic tools underwent in the course of the last few centuries, this article may offer the elements of reading and the necessary preliminary methodological indications to deal with the much more complex apparatus that was developed in the current process of ‘convergence’. There are just few details here on the emergence of the new unit machines, on the use of the gauge par excellence, the machine lathe, because these elements of reading are to be given much more space in future work on the mechanization of space arrangement – it is only possible to indicate here that, via an examination of the continuity from the lathe of the turner to the trainees’ lathes in use in public woodworking instruction, it is these matters that are ‘in depth’ pedagogy issues. This article aimed solely to encourage dialogue among those who have contributed to the design of these distant tools (Higgins, 2016). The view of a transformation of pedagogical theories can be a stimulus; digillogical destiny is considered fixed, irreversible, and positive.

Foundations of Traditional Pedagogical Theories

Throughout the history of education, many different pedagogical theories have been proposed and implemented. The understanding of the various pedagogical theories that have comprised the pedagogical ‘menu’ of educators is pursued. This attention is focused on explicit pedagogical theories of learning, which are those lectures might explicitly hold claims for their teaching (Drumm, 2019). However, it is also acknowledged that there exists a significant corpus of knowledge regarding the philosophical and theoretical origins of not only pedagogies, but teaching practices in general, which lectures might hold in tacit form. This corpus is diverse, with academic literatures spanning educational psychology, the sociology of learning, the philosophy of education and cognitive science.

It is acknowledged that alongside the theoretical foundations of lecturing, the ways of teaching developed by educators are also informed by a variety of external influences. School, college and university teachers are trained within specific structures that equip them with the tools to teach in a particular way. The pedagogies available to them are passed down through these institutions from one generation of educator to the next. Pedagogies may also be imposed on lecturers at different levels such as from national and local governmental bodies or senior faculty. As such, it is argued that lectures’ pedagogies, like their etic perspectives on technologies, are imbued with historical and cultural specificity. These external factors are taken into account, therefore, alongside a review of the more common and traditional pedagogical theories of teaching. Finally, this inventory is conducted with an emphasis on a European context, though it is suggested that pedagogy may still vary significantly between disciplines even in this limited geography.

Philosophical Underpinnings

Distinct philosophical underpinnings have charted the course of educational theory and practice, including idealism, realism, and pragmatism. Idealism in education has fostered a student-centered approach where the teacher’s role is predominantly asker and the student is answerer. On the contrary, Idealism in education focuses on realism and utility in the mind of the learner, implying that learning and reasoning are limited to what the mind has already acquired by the means of thought. Realism in education emphasizes the acquisition of knowledge and skills for reality’s sake, whereas learning proceeds from simple to complex. According to Realism,

Methodologies in education encompass observation, experimentation, and thought, and the teacher has the role of direction and guidance, offering questions rather than giving answers.

At the heart of Pragmatism in education is the notion that education is the conservation, creation, and direction of personal or social experiences, and four methods of that in education are problem-solving, inquiry method and inductive method, and extrapolation. Pragmatism may well be justifiably considered an amalgam of Idealism and Realism. Namely, pragmatists believe that education in the classroom should be student-centered, revolutionary, and practice of science learning rather than the passive recitation. Twelve sources are listed in chronological order from the beginning of the conflict with the British to the beginning of the Rev. Gazette and were selected as a small sampler of the great extent of sources available regarding the conflict. These sources range in perspective and include a British garrison commander, a biographer of Ghandi, a nationalist newspaper and a colonial boycott supporter.

How a teacher should be reared and how he should conduct himself towards his charge is taught by many of the conceptions embodied in these sayings of his. The biggest errors arise when teachers observe too much of the conventionalities of pedagogues, instead of considering that the most admirable training is an acquired natural talent. Napoleon touched upon the main points of education and the instruction of youth when in a state document he explained how the teachers should make use of his sayings when they would guide the instruction of the young, and how important it was, above all, to teach the young concern and love of their country. Dewey introduces the notion of education as a “reconstruction or reorganization of experience” (Drumm, 2019). Effectiveness of meaning is determined by the habits and beliefs of the educator. It is prioritized that experience cannot stand on its own but has to be reflected on. Various other educators and educational scholars entered this dialogue, demanding and comparing different ways of understanding. Out of this ideological explosion three major branches of learning theory emerged in regards to digital technology: behaviourism, cognitivism, the pupil’s constructive aspect of learning is emphasized, social constructivism is based on the ideas of Lev – and social constructivism. Social constructivism rejects the idea of learning as a replication of knowledge created by individuals willing to accept the interplay of isolation and knowl proficiencies. This theory is connected by applied dialogical approach to culture such as Vygotsky's idea of zones of proximal development and scaffolding. It is also possible to talk about connectionism as another theory in the realm of digital learning. It interprets knowledge as the outcomes of distributed learning in various nodes and can be developed simultaneously in genetically. In education, the construction of individuating networks is facilitated by working with examples that emphasize specific relationships between stimuli. Understanding of pedagogical conditions is improved by modeling journalist processes that simulate the creation of links.

Historical Context

Historical Context There is fluidity of changing historical, educational, social, and contextual relations, as shown by Erik Iversen in Riga in August 1990. Different epochs and even eras were influenced by other states, economies, regimes, limited shackles, political propaganda, traditions in education, ideologies, values from surrounding neighbors, foreign families, or just as neighbors. Socrates revisited applying modern instructional technology for supporting learning processes

allows alternative learning processes to be introduced. Looking at how educational practices have transformed and been influenced by external socioeconomic, pedagogical, and ecological factors from ancient theocratic Eastern systems to modern West European educational globalization can enhance imagination, inspire rhetoric, lower educational and technological determinisms, and perhaps provide some suggestions for humility in technological, epistemological and pedagogical discourse. By reflecting on the educational ecology is shown how the present and previous evolved, and some suggestions for a socio-cultural cybernetic pedagogical history. The aim of the concept is to show a fluidity of changing historical, educational, social, and contextual relations. Historically speaking, pedagogy as a science is thoroughly subjective in nature, with much more individual than universal dogmas and rules. Mainstream pedagogical principles are for the most part local truths which are valid only for a certain people or during a specified historical period. Yet the general line followed by the evolution of such principles may be fairly instructive, both for the sake of historians of educational ideas and to sketch a more precise perspective of current debates in the realm of pedagogy and in relation to the “new” active learning technologies, personified by ALMA (Faugli, 2003). For good or ill, what modernity counts on its General Culture is that the Renaissance design or movement goes along with that at the beginning of the 3rd Millennium. A magnificent historical perspective, of some six centuries, during which mainly forms varied, charges and functions transformed, and strategies of production and reproduction of the educational etc., knowledge question, equipment transvestiture, educational arrangement, instructional design and advanced teaching technology aimed at changing intellectual and cultural behavior. From a historical point of view, world-system analysis is a fairly recent development of research (Higgins, 2016). This framework developed from the works of a sociologist, Immanuel Wallerstein, published in the 1970s concerning the processes of economic development and disparity among divergent entities or systems in an understandable perspective.

Conclusion and Future Directions

After this exploration of both traditional and more recent digital pedagogical theories and approaches, it can be concluded that while the advent of digital learning technologies has led to a transformation in contemporary pedagogical theory and practice, naturally, pedagogies will continue to evolve. There appear to be key historical shifts, where advances in technology have necessitated, or at least facilitated, new or adapted ways of teaching and learning practice (Male, 2016). Initially, the rise of new technologies has seen a mere replication of pre-existing pedagogical approaches to fit these technologies. Eventually, traditional modes of pedagogy – essentially characterised by the power dynamic between students and teacher, authority of knowledge, and expert-led instruction – has been relinquished in favour of these new digital pedagogical approaches. There is however still much tension in contemporary pedagogical practice, and it remains a challenge for educators and institutions to make the effective integration of new technologies possible within pedagogical design.

The rapid evolution and development of new technologies and new media mean much of pedagogy is currently used in digital instructional design may already be out-of-date or inapplicable in five or ten years. Therefore it is important – and indeed integral – to remain

continually adaptable and innovative in approach to pedagogical design if the needs and desires of digital learners are to be met in the 21st century and beyond (Wacnag Lidawan & Reyes Chua, 2018). With Virtual Reality (VR) fast discovering its way into educational practices, this paper anticipates a need of flip-sheet pedagogical design to effectively promote immersive Virtual Reality learning environments. A call is made throughout for the development of a body of future-proofed pedagogical theory as well as for a more pedagogically-aware approach to the development and potential adoption of new digital learning technologies.

Future research should consider the clear social dominance of digital technologies in contemporary society, and the ways in which this informs pedagogy. It is likely that the emerging technologies now anticipated (such as Virtual and Augmented Reality) will subsequently inform pedagogical strategies and methodologies in educational practice, possibly creating a similar chasm between contemporary and future pedagogical design. As such, it is of great importance that a proactive approach is taken to pedagogical theory and design of future landscape of education, ensuring that an increasingly rapid rate of development of educational practices does not leave behind less technologically-able educators, or equally put valuable learning experiences of students. It is suggested that one way to do this is to foster a culture of continual expanding across all concerned with best-practice pedagogical design, supported by evidence-based research, to ensure all options – traditional and digital -remain viably in educational practice.

REFERENCES

1. Bell, F. (2011). Connectivism: Its place in theory-informed research and innovation in technology-enabled learning.
2. Drumm, L. (2019). Folk pedagogies and pseudo-theories: How lecturers rationalise their digital teaching.
3. Faugli, B. (2003). Evolution of education, theories of learning and computer-supported learning.
4. Higgins, S. (2016). New (and old) technologies for learning: Innovation and educational growth.
5. Male, T. (2016). Digital technologies: Implications for educational organisations and settings in the twenty-first century.
6. Staunton, T. (2017). Education and the digital revolution.
7. Wacnag Lidawan, M., & Reyes Chua, E. (2018). Stimulating digital literacy participations' frameworks: Innovative and collaborative language projects.
8. Živković, S., & Stojković, N. (2013). To modernize or not to modernize \u2013 There is no question.